

Underappreciated Facts about African Agriculture:


Implications for Poverty Reduction and Agricultural Growth Strategies



T.S Jayne, with colleagues from Michigan State University

FAO, Rome
September 27, 2006

Major development strategies in retrospect, 1960-2000



Current thinking on “strategy”

- Emerging coalition for “big push” agricultural strategy
 - e.g., Sachs, Sanchez,...maybe Gates?
- Strong consensus about need for greater investment in public goods (infrastructure, crop science) and certain policy reforms
- Major debate with regard to what constitutes the right “enabling environment”
 - Food price support/stabilization
 - Input subsidies

-
- Many of these debates can be informed by a solid empirical understanding of how rural economies work

Organization of presentation:

1. Underappreciated “empirical regularities” of small farm agriculture in Africa
2. Discuss the implications of these findings for current policy debates

Six underappreciated aspects about African agriculture:

1. Farm sizes are declining → Huge land disparities → rural population is hardly growing → new demands on food marketing systems
2. Given plausible assumptions about productivity growth possibilities, grain productivity growth will be inadequate to kick-start growth in most of the region → diversification into higher-return activities will be crucial
3. Most farmers in the region are buyers of staple food → directly hurt by higher grain prices
4. Retail food prices are trending downward in most of the region

Six underappreciated aspects about African agriculture:

5. Supermarkets account for less than 4% of urban food expenditures in almost all African countries. Even with major growth in supermarket volume, investments in traditional marketing channels will remain much more important for small farmer and consumer welfare
6. Farmer organizations, despite their poor track record in Africa, will increasingly be crucial for rural income growth and poverty reduction.

Fact #1


- Emerging land pressures are generating fundamental challenges for poverty reduction and investment strategies

Cultivated land per agricultural person (hectares)

	1960-69	1970-79	1980-89	1990-99
Ethiopia	0.508	0.450	0.363	0.252
Kenya	0.459	0.350	0.280	0.229
Mozambique	0.389	0.367	0.298	0.249
Rwanda	0.215	0.211	0.197	0.161
Zambia	1.367	1.073	0.896	0.779
Zimbabwe	0.726	0.664	0.583	0.525

Source: FAOStat website: Source: FAO Stat database: www.faostat.fao.org/


Farm size distribution: Small farm sector




Characteristics of smallholder farmers, Zambia 1999/00

	N=	Farm size (ha)	Asset values (US\$)	Gr. Rev., maize sales (US\$)	Gr. Rev., crop sales (US\$)	Total hh income (US\$)
Top 50% of maize sales	23,680	6.0	1,558	690	823	2,282
Rest of maize sellers	234,988	3.9	541	74	135	514
Households not selling maize	762,566	2.8	373	0	36	291

Rural population growth rates




Share of Urban population in total population, 1968 and 1998



-
- More than 50% of Africa's population will be urban by 2015.
 - 2000: 10 farm households feed 7 non-farm households
 - 2020: 10 farm households feed 16 non-farm households
 - Upshot: demand for food will be rising rapidly
 - Policies on imported rice, wheat, & food aid will influence this translates into increased demand for locally produced

Nairobi staple expenditure patterns



Fact #2

- Given plausible assumptions about new technology development, farm sizes are too small for grain-based productivity growth to lift most rural households out of poverty
- Hence, diversification into higher-return activities will be crucial
- This transition is already occurring


Role of maize in small farm incomes is declining (share of gross sales revenue)

	Maize	Other grains/ beans/ oilseeds	Non-food cash crops	Fruits - veges	Animal products
Kenya	13.3	7.9	34.0	14.7	26.7
Malawi	32.3	11.8	44.9	na	na
Mozam	13.8	9.3	16.9	30.4	23.4
Zambia	28.2	7.7	16.7	27.5	14.7

Fact #3

- Most rural farm households are buyers of maize (or net buyers)

Smallholder Households' Position in the Maize Market






Fact #3

- Most rural farm households are buyers of maize (or net buyers)
- 2% of households account for 50% of marketed grain surplus
- Crop price supports:
 - highly concentrated benefits
 - anti-poor
 - Most likely impede small farm diversification into higher-valued activities

Fact #4


- Retail maize meal prices are trending downward





Fact #4


- Retail maize meal prices are trending downward
- Why?
 - Food market reform has encouraged rapid investment in informal, small-scale milling and trading networks
 - The informal channel exerts competitive pressure on commercial millers/retailers
 - Exception: South Africa



Fact #5

- The performance of “traditional” food systems will remain a much more important determinant of farmer welfare and consumer food security than “supermarkets”
- Hence, focus investment priorities on improving the performance of traditional food marketing systems
 - linking traditional with new agribusiness systems

Market share of consumer staple food expenditures, Nairobi



-
- Even with 20% annual growth of supermarkets, in relatively progressive Kenya, in 10 years, the supermarket share will be:

12.4% market share in 2016.

Fact #6

- Organizing small farmers into viable groups will be crucial for poverty reduction and agricultural growth
- Millions of remote farms < 1 hectare have major problems with access to
 - credit and inputs
 - extension services, soil testing
 - market outlets
- Reducing the transaction costs of linking small farms to markets and services will require aggregating farm units into groups

Where from here?

- Implications of:
 - > 50% of rural farm households have < 1 hectare and are extremely poor
 - > 50% of rural farm households are net buyers of staple food
 - Massive rural-to-urban migration
 - but lacking the human capital to contribute productively to society


Where from here?

- Investments that raise the long-term productivity and competitiveness of rural farm families:
 - Invest in crop science and technology
 - Rural infrastructure
 - Farmer organization for service provision
 - Basic education: facilitates peoples' ability to thrive and contribute to an increasingly globalized economy
 - Health (w/ particular emphasis on HIV/AIDS)
- Widespread agreement on these investments

Much research evidence documents high returns to investment in

1. R & D: (Alston, Grilliches, Mellor)
2. Education: turns information into knowledge (Johnston)
3. Extension systems: farm management (Evenson)
4. Infrastructure: road, rail, port, communications (Antle)
5. Investments in health and addressing HIV/AIDS (Binswanger)

Budget allocation to Agricultural Sector in Zambia: ZMK465 million in 2005



Zambia	Total Income	Assets	Landholding size
Fertilizer source:	'000 kwacha per capita		ha per capita
<i>Households not acquiring fertilizer:</i>	266	173	.15
<i>Cash purchases from private retailers:</i>	774	342	.20
<i>Government Fertilizer Support Program (50% subsidy)</i>	804	425	.23

-
- ❑ Spending 70% of agricultural budget on input/output subsidies is most likely a regressive mis-use of budget resources with questionable long-term payoffs
 - ❑ Opportunity costs

-
- As massive as the poverty problems are now, they will be much greater unless budgets are re-allocated sooner or later to investments that will make the economy productive in the long-term:
 - Population growth w/o productivity growth → civil strife
 - Not a viable option to have more and more “failed states” in Africa

Major Challenge:

- how best to encourage governments to reallocate public budgets toward crucial investments with long-term payoffs instead of investments with short-term payoffs with limited impact on L.T. development?

Address uncertainty of the enabling environment

- Marketing boards continue to play strong role in food markets
- Massive uncertainty caused by unpredictable
 - export bans
 - import tariffs
 - state importation/stock release
- Creates major risks of financial loss for private traders → stymies investment in crucial marketing services



Getting Markets Right: What does this mean?

- Not getting government out of markets
- Changing the *role* of government from direct intervention to supportive investments to make markets work
 - Public goods investment
 - Support development of farmer organizations
 - Create “stable” policy environment: Clear, rule-based public operations in markets
 - Commodity risk management tools (e.g. warehouse receipt systems)
 - Greater transparency and consultation needed between private and public sectors

Policy response (cont.)

- Lobby forcefully for more level playing field in international trade
 - OECD support for African ag: \$50 bill./yr
 - OECD ag. subsidies: \$350 bill./yr
 - Reassess developed country policy of dumping free food in Africa under guise of “food for development”



thank you